

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA-455-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 17.01.2018

Rachna Rani

.....Petitioner

versus

Nitin Khanna

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.N.C.Kinra, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.D.K.Tuteja, Advocate for the respondent

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Petitioner seeks transfer of petition filed under Section 13 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 bearing No.318/2016 titled as *Nitin Khanna vs. Rachna Rani* pending in the Court of learned District Judge (Family Court), at Rohtak to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Chandigarh.

I have heard learned counsel for both the parties and have also perused the reply.

It comes out that the petitioner basically belongs to Hansi, District Hisar. It is stated that proceedings under Section 125 Cr.P.C. are pending at Hisar Courts. Hansi is stated to be between Rohtak and Hisar.

Since the petitioner belongs to Hansi and other litigation is pending at Hisar Court, there is no ground to transfer the present divorce petition from Rohtak Court to Chandigarh Court.

However, keeping in view the fact that another litigation is already pending at Hisar Court, let the present divorce petition be also

decided by a competent Court at Hisar. Therefore, the present filed petition under Section 13 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 bearing No.318/2016 titled as *Nitin Khanna vs. Rachna Rani* pending in the Court of learned District Judge (Family Court), at Rohtak is withdrawn from the said Court and is transferred to the to learned District Judge (Family Court), Hisar.

Present petition stands allowed accordingly.

17.01.2018

gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No