

**201 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**T.A. No.446 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : March 08, 2018**

Manju Devi

..... Petitioner

Versus

Rajesh

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. N.K. Malhotra, Advocate for the petitioner.

None for the respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Respondent is duly served through mother but has not come present. He be proceeded ex parte.

Petitioner seeks transfer of a petition filed under Section 25 of the Guardian and Wards Act, 1890, titled as “*Rajesh vs Manju Devi*”, pending in the Court of learned District Judge, Family Court, Hisar to the Court of learned District Judge, Family Court, Rohtak.

It is stated that earlier respondent has filed divorce petition, which was transferred by this Court to Rohtak Courts, vide order dated 07.12.2016, passed in TA No.825 of 2016. The minor child, whose custody is sought, is living with the petitioner at Rohtak. The petition for the custody of the minor child has been filed only to harass the petitioner.

Considering the fact that the minor child is living with the petitioner and also the fact that other litigation between the parties is also pending at Rohtak Courts, the present petition filed under Section 25 of the

Guardian and Wards Act, 1890, titled as “*Rajesh vs Manju Devi*”, pending in the Court of learned District Judge, Family Court, Hisar, is withdrawn from the said Court and transferred to the Court of learned District Judge, Family Court, Rohtak.

As such, the present petition is allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

March 08, 2018
sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No