

**204 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**T.A. No.419 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : May 04, 2018**

Kavita **Petitioner**

Versus

Deepak Dhankhar **Respondent**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Ashish Pannu, Advocate for the petitioner.
None for the respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioner seeks transfer of a petition bearing No.HMA 83/2016, filed under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as ***“Deepak vs Kavita Rani @ Seema”*** pending in the Court of learned District Judge, Jhajjar to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Sonapat.

Heard.

It is stated that the petitioner has got two minor children and there is a distance of about 60 Kms. between Sonapat and Jhajjar. The petitioner is residing in a village, which is away from Sonapat.

I am of the view that since the witnesses belong to Jhajjar, therefore, convenience of the witnesses is also to be seen. There is not much distance between Sonapat and Jhajjar. Therefore, there is no ground to transfer the aforesaid petition from Jhajjar Courts to Sonapat Courts.

As such, the present petition is dismissed.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

May 04, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No