

**201 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**T.A. No.254 of 2017 (O&M)  
Date of decision : March 15, 2018**

**Lalit Yadav**

**..... Petitioner**

**Versus**

**Jyoti Yadav**

**..... Respondent**

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

**Present:-** Mr. Gaurav Tyagi, Advocate for  
Mr. S.S. Kharb, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Punit Malik, Advocate for the respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

**KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)**

Petitioner seeks transfer of a petition filed under Section 13(1a) (1b) of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, title as “*Lalit Yadav vs Jyoti Yadav*” pending in the Court of learned District Judge, Family Court, Gurgaon to a competent Court at Faridabad or any other District.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that the petitioner has some grievance against the opposite counsel, who is harassing him.

I am of the view that both the parties belong to Gurgaon. The ordinary, jurisdiction is at Gurgaon Courts. If the petitioner has some grievance against the opposite counsel, he can always move the concerned Bar Council, which is to go into the allegations. However, the mere fact that the petitioner does not like the opposite counsel or he has filed

complaint against him, is no ground to transfer the said case from District Courts, Gurgaon to a Court of competent jurisdiction of some other District.

As such, the present petition is dismissed. Since the main petition is dismissed, therefore, the pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

**(KULDIP SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

**March 15, 2018**

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned                      Yes

Whether Reportable:                                      No