

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

T.A. No. 1066-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : 16.4.2018

Poonam Petitioner
Versus
Mithun Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Vinod Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Summon has been received with the report that respondent duly served through mother. But no representation has been made on his behalf. Hence, proceeded against ex-parte.

This is a petition filed under Section 24 read with Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, seeking transfer of the case filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 titled as **“Mithun versus Poonam”** pending in the Court of learned Additional Civil Judge, (Senior Division), Jind to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Kaithal.

It comes out that petitioner has two minor daughters residing with her and three cases between the parties are pending at Kaithal Courts. Therefore, it is difficult for her to pursue her case at Jind.

Considering the difficulty expressed by the petitioner, petition filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 titled as **“Mithun versus Poonam”** pending in the Court of learned Additional Civil Judge, (Senior Division), Jind is withdrawn from the said Court and transferred to the Court of learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Kaithal, who may retain the case himself or entrust it to any other Court of competent jurisdiction for trial.

In view of above, petition stands allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

16.4.2018
preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes
No