

**211 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**T.A. No.1028 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : May 04, 2018**

Manju

..... Petitioner

Versus

Surajbhan

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. J.S. Thind, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Respondent has refused to serve through mother but served through affixation but none has come present on his behalf. He is proceeded against ex parte.

Petitioner seeks transfer of a petition filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as “*Surajbhan vs Manju*”, pending in the Court of learned Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Narwana, to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Hisar.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that four other cases between the parties are pending at Hisar Courts.

To ensure that all the cases between the parties are tried at one place and the fact that non has come present to oppose the petition, the petition filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as

“*Surajbhan vs Manju*”, pending in the Court of learned Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Narwana, is withdrawn from the said Court and transferred to the Court of learned Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Hisar, who may try the case himself or entrust it to a Court of competent jurisdiction.

As such, the present petition is allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

May 04, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No