

216 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH
T.A.No.1022 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : February 28, 2018

Vinod Kumari @ Sonia @ Palak Petitioner

Versus

Vikrant Bhardwaj and another Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. M.S. Bajwa, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Despite service, respondents have not appeared.

Petitioner seeks transfer of a petition filed under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as “*Vikrant Bhardwaj vs Vinod Kumari @ Sonia @ Palak and another*”, pending in the Court of learned Addl. District Judge-II, Jalandhar, to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Amritsar.

It is stated that a case filed under Section 125 Cr.P.C. between the parties is pending at District Courts, Amritsar.

In view of the aforesaid, the petition filed under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as “*Vikrant Bhardwaj vs Vinod Kumari @ Sonia @ Palak and another*”, pending in the Court of learned Addl. District Judge-II, Jalandhar, is withdrawn from the said Court and transferred to the Court of learned District Judge, Amritsar, who may retain the case himself or entrust it to a Court of competent jurisdiction.

As such, the present petition is allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

February 28, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes Whether Reportable: No