

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

T.A. No. 1014-2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : 6.3.2018

Harpreet Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

Ishar Singh

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Barjinder Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Ramandeep Kaur Sohi, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Respondent has not put in appearance despite service to oppose the present petition.

Heard.

This is a petition filed under Section 24 of the Civil Procedure Code for transfer of the petition titled as **'Ishar Singh versus Harpreet Kaur'**, filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, pending in the Court of learned District Judge (Family Court), Barnala to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Sangrur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is pregnant and cannot travel from Sangrur to Barnala. Therefore, it is requested that the case should be transferred to Sangrur.

I am of the view that there is not much distance from Sangrur to Barnala. Both are on the four lane recently constructed highway and there is a frequent bus service from Sangrur to Barnala.

Therefore, there is no ground to transfer the petition from the Barnala Courts to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Sangrur.

Dismissed.

However, learned District Judge (Family Court), Barnala is directed to make efforts for reconciliation between the parties and if necessary refer the case to Mediation and Conciliation Centre of Barnala.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

6.3.2018
preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No