

254

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

SAO No. 119 of 2017 (O/M)

Date of decision : 26.3.2018

Shingara Ram

..... Appellant

Versus

Ram Pal and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sanjeev Ghai, Advocate, for appellant.

Mr. Ravi Dutt Sharma, Advocate, for respondents

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

CM-27927-CII-2017

There is delay of 12 days in filing the accompanying appeal.

For the reasons mentioned in application, delay of 12 days in filing the accompanying appeal is condoned.

Application is disposed of.

Main case

For orders, see detailed order of even date passed in SAO No. 114 of 2017, titled as *Para Ram Versus Ram Pal and others*. Since main case has been allowed, therefore, pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

26.3.2018

sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No
Whether Reportable : Yes / No