

Farhan Anjum and another v. State of Punjab and others

Present : None for the petitioners

Mr. K.S. Aulakh, DAG, Punjab

This petition was filed by the petitioners for seeking protection of their lives and liberty, claiming to have contracted the marriage against the wishes of their family members.

Probably, to tests the bona fide of the boy as to whether he would be able to keep the marriage surviving, this Court had directed petitioner No.1 to deposit Rs.50,000/-in fixed deposit in favour of petitioner No.2. Therefore, vide order dated 25.3.2015, it was directed that in the first instance, petitioner No.1 will deposit Rs.20,000/-in FDR for 2 years in favour of petitioner No.2 within one week and another Rs.30,000/-within one month. Accordingly, notice of motion was issued for 1.4.2015. On 1.4.2015, petitioner No.1 had produced FDR/National Saving Certificate for an amount of Rs.20,000/-in favour of petitioner No.2. However, in order to comply with the order dated 25.3.2015 in toto, petitioner No.1 was granted further time for creating fixed deposit in the name of petitioner No.2 within a period of 9 months. Still further, it was ordered that after making the FDR, petitioner No.1 shall send the information to this Court. However, the petition itself was disposed of.

After the petition itself was disposed of, there is no intimation from petitioner No.1 as to whether he had deposited the remaining amount of Rs.30,000/-in the name of petitioner No.2 or not. Similarly, even there is no complaint from petitioner No.2 that petitioner No.1 has not created the fixed

deposit in her name or even to the effect that she is no more happily living as the wife of petitioner No.1.

From the facts and circumstances of the case, it appears that the petitioners have moved forward in their lives and there does not appear to be any complaint from any side in this matter. The entire idea of getting the fixed deposit created in the name of petitioner No.2 was that she does not have to suffer because of this marriage. Although no intimation has been sent by petitioner No. 1 regarding deposit of Rs.30,000/-in the name of petitioner No.2, yet even petitioner No.2 has not come forward with any grievance. Therefore, this Court does not find any reason to continue the proceedings in this matter any further

Therefore, no further order is required to be passed in this case.

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

3.8.2018
Ashwani