

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

114

RSA No.5907 of 2017 (O & M)
Date of Decision:09.07.2018

Satish Kumar and another

...Appellants

Versus

Zile Singh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: None.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.(Oral)

The case has been called twice. However, no one is present.
Even on 13.12.2017, none had appeared on behalf of the appellants.

Hence, this Court is left with no choice but to read and decide.

Defendants-appellants are in the regular second appeal against the concurrent findings of fact arrived at by the Courts below while decreeing the suit for mandatory injunction. Zile Singh, who is the father, seeking possession of the property owned by him, which is in occupation of his son and daughter-in-law.

Both the Courts have found that the defendants-appellants were in permissible possession as a license. The license has already been revoked.

In view, there is no scope for interference.

Regular second appeal is dismissed.

09.07.2018
sheetal

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No