

in which also she suffered an order dated 30.09.2016. Hence, the present appeal.

4. Short question for consideration in the present appeal is whether Ex.P20 is a speaking order or not?

5. Ex.P20 reads as under:-

*“Subject: Enlistment as Constable/Clerk/Class-IV under PLIs. (Interviewed dated 15.6.2005).*

*Please refer to your requests for appointment in the police department under priority list instructions.*

*2. You do not fulfil the prescribed/eligibility conditions for the post of Constable/Clerk/Class-IV (contingent paid) (as the case may be) under the priority list instruction. Hence, your cases have been rejected/filed.”*

Since it is a non-speaking order, therefore, matter requires re-consideration by the Director General of Police, Punjab.

6. Accordingly, decree and judgment passed by trial Court as well as appellate Court are set aside. Appeal stands allowed. Director General of Police, Punjab is hereby directed to pass a speaking order as to how the appellant's claim for compassionate appointment is not in accordance with the Government instructions or policies relating to compassionate appointment as on 31.10.2005. Such decision shall be taken by the Director General of Police, Punjab, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Decision be communicated to the appellant at the earliest.

January 23, 2018

vkd

[P.B. Bajanthri]

Judge

Whether reasoned / speaking : Yes

Whether reportable : No