

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**XOBJR No.40-CI-2015 in/and
RFA No.3187 of 2011 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 09.03.2018**

Haryana State Industrial Development Corporation Limited.

.... Appellant(s).

Versus

Jage Ram (since deceased) through his LRs and others.

....Respondent(s).

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA.

Present: Mr.A.K.Chopra, Senior Advocate with
Mr.G.S.Bhandol, Advocate and
Mr.Pritam Singh Saini, Advocate for Haryana State
Industrial and Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd.

Mr.Sudeep Mahajan, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana,
for State as well as for Haryana State Industrial and
Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd.

Ms.Safia Gupta, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana,

Mr.Shailendra Jain, Senior Advocate with
Mr.Satyendra Chauhan, Advocate,
Mr.Pawan Kumar, Senior Advocate with
Mr.Rozar Kumar Aggarwal, Advocate,
Ms.Manisha Gandhi, Senior Advocate with
Mr.Ashwani Gaur, Advocate
Mr.G.C.Shahpuri, Advocate
Mr.Ranjit Saini, Advocate,
Mr.Sandeep Sharma, Advocate,
Mr.P.R.Yadav, Advocate,
Mr.Nitin Jain, Advocate,
Mr.Gaurav Aggarwal, Advocate
for the landowners/Cross objectors.

G.S.SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)

CM No.3706-CI-2015

Application has been filed for bringing on record legal
representatives of respondent No.1 Jage Ram who expired during the
pendency of the proceedings on 26.12.2008. The legal representatives of

the deceased are mentioned in para No.2 of the application and it has been averred that there is no other legal heir of the said deceased-respondent. The application, duly supported by affidavit of Ram Kumari widow of late Jage Ram is allowed and the legal heirs of aforesaid deceased-respondent are brought on record for pursuing the present appeal only, subject to all just exceptions. It is made clear that this order shall not be liable to be taken into consideration in any other set of proceedings.

CM stands disposed of.

Main case

The appeal is dismissed, however the cross-objections filed by the land owners are allowed, in view of the detailed judgment of even date passed in **RFA No.2373 of 2010 'Madan Pal (III) Vs. State of Haryana and another'**. The relevant part of the judgment reads as under:-

“140. Accordingly, the appeals filed by the HSIIDC seeking reduction in the compensation and of MSIL are dismissed and those of the land owners alongwith cross-objections are allowed.

(i) The market value of the land falling in five village i.e. Naharpur Kasan, Kasan, Bas Huria, Bas Khusla and Dhana is assessed @ Rs.41.40 lakhs per acre alongwith all statutory benefits.

(ii) The market value of land in village Manesar is assessed @ Rs.62.10 lakhs per acre alongwith all statutory benefits.

(iii) The appellant-M/s Kohli Holdings Private Limited in RFA No.4646 of 2010 would be entitled for compensation Rs.62.10 lakhs per acre, on account of it being given benefit of 50% of locational advantage being situated on the highway and in village Manesar apart from that it would be entitled for 30% more compensation on account of severance charges on the abovesaid market value alongwith all statutory benefits.

(iv) The directions of the Apex Court in the case of Pran Sukh will also be adhered to while disbursing the balance amount of compensation.

(v) Where appeals have been filed by the land owners which were beyond period of limitation and applications have been filed for condoning the delay with a condition that the land owners will not be entitled for the interest during the said period, the Executing Court shall ensure that the amounts are calculated and disbursed, keeping in the view the said condition which has been passed in the case of each and individual land owner.

*(vi) The appeals filed by the MSIL are dismissed on account of non-maintainability and in view of the observations of the Apex Court in the case of **Satish Kumar Gupta (supra)** being a post notification allottee.””*

March 09, 2018

raman

(G.S.SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No