

RSA No.5146 of 2017 (O&M)

{1}

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RSA No.5146 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision:28.11.2018

Natha Singh and others

... Appellants

Vs.

Sohan Singh and others

... Respondents

RSA No.1306 of 2018 (O&M)

Harjinder Singh and others

... Appellants

Vs.

Sohan Singh and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. Inderjit Sharma, Advocate
for the appellants.

AMIT RAWAL J. (Oral)

The only point raised by assailing the final decree confirming the preliminary decree is that judgment and decree dated 02.03.1983 passed by this Court, placed on record for drawing the final decree, whereby, the land measuring 18 kanal 1 marla had already been mutated in favour of the predecessor-in-interest of the appellants, rendered preliminary decree incompetent for drawing final decree.

I am afraid the aforementioned argument, in the absence of aforesaid piece of evidence during the preparation of the preliminary decree cannot be looked into at this stage.

No other argument has been raised, much less no material has been placed on record to substantiate as to how the final decree is not in tandem with the preliminary decree.

No ground is made out for interference in the impugned judgments and decrees.

Resultantly, the appeals are dismissed.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

November 28, 2018
savita

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No