

236

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

RA-CW-423-2016 in
CWP No. 27363 of 2013 (O/M)
Date of decision : 15.2.2018

Ramesh Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Arun Abrol, Advocate, for applicant-petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Dhillon, DAG Punjab,
for respondents No. 1, 2 and 4.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Instant application has been filed for review of order dated 3.11.2016, passed by this Court in CWP No. 27363 of 2013, vide which inadvertently interest on recovery, which was to be returned, has not been mentioned.

It comes out that recovery on account of re-fixation of pay, amounting to Rs. 157096/-, was made. The order for making recovery dated 8.5.2012 was quashed. Therefore, it is clarified that amount, which was recovered from retiral benefits, shall be returned to applicant-petitioner alongwith interest at the rate of 9 percent per annum.

Application is disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

15.2.2018
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No

Whether Reportable : Yes / No