

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RSA-4446-2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 20.11.2018

Naib Singh

... Appellant

Vs.

Gurjant Singh

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present : Ms. Mansi Bansal, Advocate
for the appellant.

AMIT RAWAL J. (Oral)

The appellant-defendant has not been successful in defending the suit for possession of land measuring 1 Kanal 14 Marlas.

Learned counsel for the appellant-defendant submitted that report of the demarcator relied upon by the plaintiff is a false and manipulated one and same has been procured.

I am afraid the aforementioned argument of the learned counsel for the appellant-defendant is not sustainable, for, plaintiff in respect of his pleadings had brought on record demarcation report to establish the unauthorized possession. No contrary report has been brought on record to belie the same. In the absence of same, I do not find any illegality and perversity in the concurrent finding of fact rendered by both the courts below. No ground for interference is made out. Resultantly, second appeal is dismissed.

20.11.2018
rajeev

(AMIT RAWAL)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No