

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RSA-4164-2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 09.03.2018

Jagdish Kumar

... Appellant

Vs.

The State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present : Mr. Rakesh Nagpal, Advocate
for the appellant.

P.B. BAJANTHRI J. (Oral)

Matter relates to selection and appointment to the post of Apprentice Signalers pursuant to the advertisement issued in the year 1995. Appellant is stated to be one of the candidate for recruitment to the post of Apprentice Signalers who was unsuccessful. Consequently, he has presented suit before the trial court in the year 2014. Trial Court rejected the suit on the ground of limitation. Thereafter, he had preferred an appeal before the appellate court. Even appeal was dismissed. Hence, the present appeal.

2. Supreme Court time and again held that in respect of selection, appointment, seniority and promotion, one must approach within the reasonable period within a period of six months at the most one year as held by the Supreme Court in the case of *P.S. Sadasivaswamy v. State of Tamil Nadu*; (1975) 1 SCC 152.

3. Appellant has not made out a case to interfere with courts below orders. Accordingly, appeal stands dismissed.

09.03.2018

rajeev

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

Yes/No

Yes/No

(P.B. Bajanthri)

Judge