

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

112

CM-10352-C-2017 in/and
RSA-4044-2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 24.7.2018

Ranjit Singh

....Appellant

vs.

Nachhitar Singh and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present: Mr. Jagjit Gill, Advocate
for the appellant.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

CM-10352-C-2017

For the reasons recorded, the application is allowed. Delay of
05 days in filing the appeal is condoned.

Main Case

This appeal has been filed against the concurrent judgments of
the Courts below decreeing a suit for partition filed by the respondents.

The admitted facts are that the appellants and the respondents
are all legal representatives of Anoop Singh. It is also admitted that the land
in dispute was originally owned by Anoop Singh and the case of the
respondents was that it was in those circumstances that the respondents
have filed the instant suit for partition. The case of the appellant on the
other hand was that the land had already been partitioned by Anoop Singh
during his life time by way of oral partition. However, there is no evidence

led for the same and oral partition was admittedly never incorporated in the revenue record.

Resultantly, no fault can be found with the judgments of the Courts below. The appeal stands dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

**24.7.2018
anuradha**

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned - Yes/No

Whether reportable - Yes/No