

**202 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**RA-CR-27-CII-2016 in FAO-2661-2012
Date of Decision : August 31, 2018**

Employees State Insurance Corporation and others Appellants

Versus

Parminder Singh Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. H.S. Bhatia, Advocate for the applicants-appellants.

Mr. Rajan Bansal, Advocate for the respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

By way of filing present application, review of the judgment dated 23.04.2015 is sought on the ground that the question is to be decided whether the casual employees/workers are covered under the Employees State Insurance Act, 1948.

Learned counsel for the applicants-appellants has referred to the judgment of Hon'ble the Supreme Court delivered in Civil Appeal No.49 of 2006, titled as ***“Royal Westerm India Turf Club Ltd. vs E.S.I. Corporation & Ors.” decided on 29.02.2016.***

It comes out that the said judgment was passed after the judgment passed by this Court. Therefore, passing of the said judgment is not binding upon the present judgment. If the applicants-appellants are not satisfied, they can always seek appropriate remedy.

In view of the aforesaid, the present application is dismissed.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

August 31, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned No Whether Reportable: No