

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

218

RSA-3809-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 02.07.2018

ROSHAN LAL

... Appellant

Versus

PERMANAND AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present : Mr. Sanjay Mittal, Advocate for the appellant.

Ms. Sheenu Sura, Advocate for respondent No.1.

REKHA MITTAL, J. (Oral)

Counsel for the appellant would state that dispute between the parties has been settled by way of compromise dated 08.02.2018 (original produced in the Court and taken on record). Further stated that in pursuance of compromise, the appellant has already paid an amount of Rs.39,25,000/- to respondent No.1/plaintiff, therefore, the terms and conditions of the compromise have already been satisfied. He would further state that in view of the compromise the appeal may be dismissed as withdrawn but respondent No.1 may be directed to withdraw the application for execution pending before the Court below.

Counsel for respondent No.1/plaintiff concedes to the factum of compromise between the parties vide compromise deed dated 08.02.2018, original whereof has been placed on record. She would further state that as respondent No.1 has already received an amount of Rs.39,25,000/- in pursuance of the compromise, respondent No.1/plaintiff shall withdraw the

execution application pending before the Court below.

Counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that this compromise would not cause adverse affect to a third party.

In view of the above, the appeal is dismissed as withdrawn.
However, respondent No.1 shall withdraw the pending execution.

02.07.2018
ashok

(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes / No
Whether reportable:	Yes / No