

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

-.-

RSA 3698 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 26.03.2018

Leela Devi (D) through LRs and others*Appellants*

Versus

Smt. Kirna*Respondent*

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

-.-

Present: Mr. Amit Jain, Advocate
for the appellants

Mr. Hardip Singh, Advocate
for the caveator/respondent

-.-

Rekha Mittal, J. (Oral)

The present appeal directs challenge against the judgments passed by the Courts whereby suit filed by respondent - Smt. Kirna was decreed partly by the trial Court and absolutely by the first appellate Court.

Counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that dispute between the parties has been settled vide settlement/compromise dated 16.02.2018 arrived at with the intervention of Mediator in the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of Punjab and Haryana High Court, Chandigarh. They would further state that settlement/compromise between the parties would not adversely affect right of a third party. It has also been agreed that in the compromise, due to inadvertent mistake, there is no reference qua right of the parties in the electric motor/tubewell connection. Counsel for the appellants would state that he has the instructions to say that the appellants

will not claim any right, title or interest qua electric motor/tubewell connection, installed in the land in question.

In view of the above, the present appeal stands disposed of. The parties shall remain bound by terms and conditions of the settlement/compromise and the appellants will not claim any right, title or interest in the electric motor/tubewell connection, installed in the land in question.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

26.03.2018
mohan bimbra

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No