

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RSA-36-2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision:09.02.2018

Pushpa Rani

... Appellant

Vs.

Punjab State Power Corporation Limited and another ... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present : Mr. Vishal Aggarwal, Advocate for the appellant.

P.B. BAJANTHRI J. (Oral)

Appellant's grievance is that he has not been promoted to the post of Superintendent Grade-II on par with his junior w.e.f 3.10.2005. During pendency of the litigation on 12.2.2008. appellant has been extended the benefit of promotion to the post of Superintendent Grade-II on par with his junior. Therefore, present appeal do not survive for consideration.

At this juncture, learned counsel for the appellant submits that there is a pay anomaly between the appellant and his junior. If it is so, it is fresh cause of action, he has to approach appropriate forum.

With the above observation, appeal stands dismissed.

09.02.2018

rajeev

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No

(P.B. Bajanthri)

Judge