

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

RSA-3410-2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision:-12.03.2018.

Zora Singh

.....Appellant

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Sharwan Sehgal, Advocate for the appellant.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

Appellant's grievance in the present appeal is that he is entitled to regularization on par with the juniors who were stated to have been regularized in the cadre of Chowkidar/Mali. Undisputedly, appellant do not fulfill the minimum qualification prescribed for the post. The same has been taken note of by the Courts below. Thus, held that appellant is not entitled to regularization.

(2.) Learned counsel for the appellant submitted that even persons who do not fulfill the requisite qualification attached to the post such of those employees' services have been regularized like respondent Nos.4 to 6.

(3.) Heard learned counsel for the appellant.

(4.) If the official respondents have committed illegality in regularizing the services of respondent Nos.4 to 6 and such regularization is

contrary to the rules of recruitment governing the post of Chowkidar/Mali,

such benefit shall not enure to the benefit of the appellant. In other words, illegality cannot be perpetuated. Accordingly, appellant has not made out a case.

(6.) Appeal stands dismissed.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

March 12, 2018.
sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.