

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

-.-

**RA -CW- 406 of 2016 in  
C.W.P No. 3916 of 2013  
Date of decision: 16.04.2018**

Taran Singh Virk

... Applicant.

Vs.

State of Haryana & Ors.

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

\*\*\*\*\*

Present: Mr. Sandeep Panwar, Advocate, for the applicant.

Mr. Ashwani Kumar Saini, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. R.D.Bawa, Advocate, for HUDA.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?*

**KULDIP SINGH, J. (Oral)**

Heard.

The petitioner seek modification of the judgment dated 03.08.2016 passed by this Court in C.W.P No. 3916 of 2013 by way of review of the same.

It comes out that in the judgment it is mentioned that vide letter dated 14.01.1999 the recommendations of pay commission were accepted by the HUDA w.e.f. 01.01.1996 with certain modifications.

Learned counsel for the appellant relies upon letter dated 30.10.1998 Annexure P-17, wherein on account of 'proposal' to accept the new pay scales certain options were sought.

However, there was no letter on file to show that the recommendations of pay commission were accepted w.e.f 01.01.1996 without any modification.

In view of the above, no ground is made out to review the said judgment.

Dismissed.

**April 16, 2018**  
**tripti**

**(KULDIP SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
Whether Reportable: Yes/No