

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

RSA No.3271 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: December 11, 2018

Sadhu Singh

...Appellant

Versus

Atma Singh & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Arun Takhi, Advocate,
for the appellant.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Short point involved in the present case is whether appellant-plaintiff, being mortgagee, can seek declaration of ownership by efflux of time in view of the non-redemption of the mortgage in the absence of any time line, the answer, in view of the law laid down by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in **Singh Ram (D) through L.Rs Versus Sheo Ram & others, 2014 (4) RCR (Civil) 179**, is 'Not'. In view of such fact, no ground for interference in concurrent findings of fact and law arrived at by the courts below is made out, much less involvement of any substantial question of law. Resultantly, the appeal is dismissed.

December 11, 2018
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No