

RA-CW-407-2016 (O&M), RA-CW-408-2016 (O&M), RA-CW-409-2016 (O&M) and RA-CW-412-2016 (O&M) in CWP No.24923-2015

Bal Singh Lokesh and others vs. State of Haryana and others

Present: Mr.Rajdeep Singh Cheema, Advocate for applicant/
respondent no. 6 in RA-CW-407-2016,
respondent no.5 in RA-CW-408-2016
respondent no.3 in RA-CW-409-2016
respondent no.4 in RA-CW-412-2016
in CWP No.24923-2015
Mr.R.K.Malik, Senior Advocate with
Mr.Sandeep Kumar Rana, Advocate
for the non-applicants/petitioners

These applications have been filed for review of the order dated 16.11.2016 passed in in CWP No.24923-2015 .

Learned counsel for the applicants/respondent Nos. 3 to 6 submits that he has filed the written statement and it was wrongly recorded in the order that the same was not filed. It comes out from the order that their counsel was not present. However, perusal of the file shows that the written statement on behalf of respondent nos.3 to 6 was filed. In the said order, petitioner was allowed benefit of judgment of Dr.K.L.Johar and others vs. State of Haryana and others, decided on 10.11.2016. Therefore, there is nothing wrong in the said order. Though it was stated that after passing of the said judgment, Government, vide Memo No.24/12-2011 CII (2)order dated 14.8.2018 has decided to borne leave encashment to the extent of 95% and 5% share to be contributed by the Management of College. If it is so, it is a separate issue.

Accordingly, these review applications are disposed of.

A copy of the order be placed on the connected case files.

19.09.2018

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge