

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

RSA No.2345 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: November 22, 2018

Surja Ram (deceased) L.Rs & another ...Appellants
Versus
Avtar Singh & others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Brijender Kaushik, Advocate,
for the appellants.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Plaintiffs had sought the declaration that they are owners in possession of land measuring 3 kanals 14 marlas on the basis of the sale deed dated 08.06.2000, which was not mentioned in the sale deed. Both the courts below have rejected their claim as the plaintiffs have not been able to prove the ownership.

Be that as it may, it is clear case of rectification, for which no relief has been prayed. In view of such, claim of the plaintiffs was not correct.

I do not find any illegality or perversity in the concurrent findings of fact and law. No ground for interference is made out, much less involvement of any substantial question of law.

At this stage, learned counsel for the appellants seeks permission of this court for withdrawal of the appeal with liberty to avail remedy in accordance with law.

Appeal is ordered to be withdrawn with liberty in case permissible in law.

November 22, 2018 (AMIT RAWAL)
ramesh JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No