

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

RSA-1492-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision:-13.03.2018.

The State of Punjab through Collector, Gurdaspur and others

...Appellants

Versus

Ajit Singh Retired Inspector

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. RBS. Chahal, Additional A.G. Punjab.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

CM-3902-C-2018

Heard.

Delay of 65 days in filing the appeal is condoned for the reasons stated in the application as well as affidavit.

CM stands allowed.

RSA-1492-2018

Respondent's grievance is relating entitlement of retiral benefits while counting the ad hoc service rendered by him. Both the trial and Appellate Court have examined the factual aspects including service particulars of the respondent and found that respondent is entitled to retiral benefits and it has been restricted to 38 months prior to filing of the suit from 09.09.2011. No doubt there is delay in filing the suit by the respondent seeking retiral benefits. At the same time it is to be noted it is a

recurring cause of action since respondent would get benefit of pension as and when it is due to him. In view of these facts and circumstances, appellants have not made out a case so as to interfere with the judgments of Court below.

Accordingly, appeal stands dismissed.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

March 13, 2018.

sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.