

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Date of decision: 05.09.2018

1. RSA No.1917 of 2017 (O&M)

Bharpur Singh

..... Appellant

Versus

Jagsir Singh

..... Respondent

2. RSA No.2198 of 2017 (O&M)

Bharpur Singh

..... Appellant

Versus

Sukhjit Singh

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr. S.K. Arora, Advocate
for the appellant (in both cases).

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.

Defendant-appellant has filed these two appeals against the concurrent finding of fact arrived at by both the Courts below while decreeing the suit for recovery on the basis of pronotes and receipts executed in favour of different plaintiffs on 13.02.2012. Learned counsel for the appellant agrees that both these appeals i.e. RSA No.1917 of 2017 and RSA No.2198 of 2017 can be disposed of by a common judgment.

The defendant-appellant contested both the suits claiming that these pronotes and receipts were executed by way of security for lease of land. However, it is admitted fact that the defendant-appellant could not

prove that fact. The defendant-appellant admits his signatures on the pronotes and receipts. Once the defendant-appellant has failed to lead sufficient evidence to prove his only defence, this Court does not find any good ground to interfere with the concurrent finding of fact arrived at by the Courts below.

Learned counsel for the appellant, although, made sincere attempt, however, could not point out any perversity or illegality in the judgments passed by the Courts below. He also could not draw attention of the Court to any substantive misreading or non-reading of evidence.

Hence, both the appeals are dismissed.

05.09.2018

Dinesh Bansal

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No