

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

RSA No. 1374 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : May 16, 2018

Anil Kumar & another

..... Appellants

v.

Surjit Singh and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Divanshu Jain, Advocate
for the appellants.

Mr. Tarunveer Vashist, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

This appeal has been filed against the concurrent judgments of the Courts below decreeing the suit filed by the appellants for possession by way of redemption of the shop in dispute.

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the appellants, on instructions, states that the appellants will not press this appeal on merits and will vacate the premises voluntarily on or before 30.6.2019. He further states that the appellants would not even press for the mortgage amount. Counsel for respondent No.1 has accepted this but states that the appellants should file an undertaking to this effect before the executing Court on or before 31.7.2018.

Learned counsel for the appellants has accepted this condition.

In the circumstances, this appeal is disposed of in the above terms.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending C.Ms, if any, also stand disposed of.

May 16, 2018
'kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No