

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

262

RSA-1334-2018 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 11.10.2018

RAM NIWAS

... Appellant

Versus

MAHENDER SINGH AND ORS.

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL**

Present : None for the appellant.

Mr. R.K. Girdhwal, Advocate for  
Mr. Sanjay Mittal, Advocate  
for respondents No.1 to 4, 6 to 10, 12 to 15, 29 and 42.

Mr. Jai Vir Yadav, Advocate for respondent No.26.

\*\*\*\*

**REKHA MITTAL, J. (Oral)**

Notice to respondents No.5(i) to (vii) and 11 could not be issued as counsel for the appellant did not comply with order dated 24.05.2018. Perusal of notice of motion order would reveal that notice was issued only to explore if the matter can be amicably settled. There is no representation on behalf of the appellant. The situation was no different on the last date of hearing. It appears that the appellant is no longer interested to pursue his remedy.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

11.10.2018

ashok

**(REKHA MITTAL)  
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes / No

Whether reportable:

Yes / No