

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

106

RSA No.4207 of 2014 (O & M)
Date of Decision:16.05.2018

Prabhu Goyal

...Appellant

Versus

Municipal Committe, Faridabad and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Radhey Shyam Sharma, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Deepak Manchanda, Advocate
for the respondents.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J.(Oral)

Plaintiff-appellant is in the regular second appeal against the concurrent findings of fact arrived at by the Courts below.

Plaintiff claims that he was allotted plot No.390, Auto Market, Municipal Committee, Fatehabad. Both the Courts have found that the plaintiff has failed to prove from any document that the plot in question was allotted to the plaintiff. Municipal Committee, Fatehabad has taken a stand that plot was never allotted to the plaintiff in fact the plot was allotted to Vishnu son of Prabhdoyal vide allotment letter dated 18.05.1995. Plaintiff in order to prove his case has only produced three receipts showing part payment of the sale consideration wherein plot No.390 has been mentioned. However, the appellant could not produce any evidence to show that the plot in question was ever allotted to the appellant.

In view of the aforesaid, this Court does not find any good ground to interfere with the concurrent findings of fact arrived at by the Courts below.

Hence, regular second appeal is dismissed.

16.05.2018
sheetal

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No