

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

110

**RSA-126-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision:12.03.2018**

Constable Rajinder Kumar

...Appellant

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Gian Chand Rattan, Advocate for the appellant.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (ORAL)

Short question for consideration in the present appeal is whether appellant is entitled to interest on arrears? Both the courts below have rightly held that appellant's grievance should have been against the order of revision passed on 11.05.2010 in Civil Revision No. 2295 of 2010 whereas this court has extended the benefit of arrears of pay. Thus, there is finality and one has to draw inference that petitioner in revision was not extended benefit of interest on arrears of pay. Therefore, there is no infirmity in the orders passed by the courts below. Accordingly, appeal stands dismissed.

12.03.2018

pooja saini

**(P.B.BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasons

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No