

**201 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

T.ANo.687 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : February 15, 2018

Smt. Bhawna

..... Petitioner

Versus

Sunil

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Vijay Saini, Advocate for
Mr. Sanjay Verma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Raja Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Kamal Sharma, Advocate for the respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the respondent has informed this Court that the parties have effected a compromise and now a joint petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 has been filed, in which first statement of the parties have been recorded and the case is now fixed for recording the second statement.

It being so, the present petition for transfer of the petition filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as “***Sunil vs Smt. Bhawna***” has become infructuous and is disposed of as such.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

February 15, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned No

Whether Reportable: No