

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**TA-539-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 25.01.2018**

Sakshi Mangla**.....Petitioner****versus****Deepak Kumar Jindal****.....Respondent****CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldeep Singh**

Present: Petitioner in person with
 Mr.Amit Chaudhary, Advocate
 Respondent in person with
 Mr.Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldeep Singh, J. (Oral)

Before passing the order, this Court has talked to both the parties, present in person in the Court. Though the petitioner is ready to go with her husband but husband is not willing to live with the petitioner on account of some allegations being levelled against him by the wife against his character via emails. Therefore, I proceed to dispose of the present transfer petition.

It comes out that both the parties are IT professionals. They got married on 27.4.2008 and lived together in USA when they were working there. A female child was born on 7.10.2013 in USA. Thereafter, in the year 2014, husband came back along with child. The child is now staying with her father and studying at Nabha school. Husband is working in Infosys and presently deputed to work in Australia for few months. He

has stated that he is likely to come back and may be posted somewhere else at Bangalore. Now, his head quarter is at Chandigarh.

On behalf of the petitioner, it is stated that she has old parents and cannot go to far off place like Patiala to contest the proceedings. On behalf of the respondent, it is stated that he is looking after the job as well as minor daughter and his old parents and therefore, he cannot go to Gurugram.

Considering the facts and circumstances, the case is to be shifted to some other place, where, both the parties can come conveniently. Accordingly, I am of the view that Ambala is a proper place, where case should be tried and decided expeditiously, as Ambala is well connected by Railways and roads to Patiala as well as Gurugram.

As such, the petition filed under Section 13 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 by the respondent titled as *Deepak Kumar Jindal vs. Sakshi Mangla* pending before the Court of Additional District & Sessions Judge, Patiala is withdrawn from the said Court and is transferred to learned District Judge, Ambala, who may retain the same himself or entrust it to a Court of competent jurisdiction. A further direction is issued that the case shall be decided expeditiously and the trial Court will make efforts to dispose of the same within one year. A Further directions is also issued that the trial Court shall continue to make efforts for re-conciliation between the parties.

Present petition stands allowed accordingly.

25.01.2018
gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes
Whether Reportable: No