

**201 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH
T.A. No.489 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : January 24, 2018**

Smt. Sarita Sehrawat **Petitioner**

Versus

Rohit Sehrawat **Respondent**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Salil Bali, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravinder Hooda, Advocate for the respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Petitioner seeks transfer of a petition filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as ***“Rohit Sehrawat vs Sarita Sehrawat”***, pending in the Court of learned District Judge, Family Court, Gurgaon to the court of learned District Judge, Family Court, Rohtak.

It is contended that petitioner is residing in a village at Rohtak and it is difficult for her to go to Gurgaon after crossing Delhi.

Considering the difficulty expressed by the petitioner, the petition filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as ***“Rohit Sehrawat vs Sarita Sehrawat”***, pending in the Court of learned District Judge, Family Court, Gurgaon, is withdrawn from his/her court and transferred to the court of learned District Judge, Family Court, Rohtak.

As such, the present petition is allowed.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

January 24, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No