

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

SAO-48-2016 (O&M)

Date of decision : 30.5.2018

Luxmi Narain

.....Appellant

Versus

Imi Chand Lambardar and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Vipul Sachdeva, Advocate for
Mr. Sandeep Khunger, Advocate for the appellant.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that as per instructions, present appeal has become infructuous, therefore, he seeks to withdraw the same.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

Since the main appeal is dismissed as withdrawn the miscellaneous application pending, if any, also stands disposed of.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

30.5.2018

preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No