

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**RSA-6526-2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision:06.09.2018**

Shiv Dayal (deceased) through L.Rs.

... Appellant

Versus

Subhas Chand & another

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.

Present:- Mr. Naveen Jaglan, Advocate for
Mr. Anuj Balian, Advocate for the appellant.

...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL)

Shiv Dayal/plaintiff instituted a suit for permanent injunction restraining the defendants from interfering in his peaceful possession over the property marked as letters ABCD and as described in the head note. Suit was dismissed by the trial Court on 31.05.2014 and even a civil appeal having been preferred has met the same fate vide judgment dated 21.11.2016 passed by learned Additional District Judge, Ambala.

Resultantly, the plaintiff/appellant is in second appeal before this Court.

Learned counsel at the very outset makes a statement at the bar that he has instructions not to pursue the instant appeal and prays for withdrawal of the same.

As per statement made by counsel, appeal is dismissed as not pressed.

06.09.2018
harjeet

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |