

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

IOIN-CRM-M-7020 of 2013

Date of decision : September 7, 2018

Ravinder and another

... Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER GUPTA

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, D.A.G, Punjab.

SURINDER GUPTA, J.(Oral)

Reply filed by learned State counsel is taken on record.

Vide order dated 18.03.2013, directions were issued to Senior

Superintendent of Police, Patiala as follows:-

“For that reason, this Court having realised that petitioner No.2 might not be put in a trouble, feels that Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala, be directed to inquire into the character and antecedents of petitioner no. 1, and thereafter take appropriate action in accordance with law and submit his report to this court within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.....”

Learned State counsel submits that petitioners are major and happily married. There were two cases registered against petitioner No. 1 but the same has nothing to do with his matrimonial life.

In view of submissions of learned State counsel, no further orders are required in this petition.

Disposed of.

(SURINDER GUPTA)
JUDGE

September 07, 2018
Jyoti-II

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No