

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

107

RSA No.4917 of 2015
Date of decision: 12.01.2018

Hardarshan Singh

..... Appellant

Versus

Gurcharan Singh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr. Jaideep Verma, Advocate
for the appellant.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

Plaintiff-appellant is in regular second appeal against the concurrent finding of fact arrived at by the Courts below.

Plaintiff filed a suit for declaration that the revenue entries from 06.09.1962 till date are wrongly prepared in favour of defendants No.1 to 7.

The defendants contested the suit and pleaded that father of the plaintiff, Bhagat Singh had executed a sale deed with regard to the property in dispute on 12.06.1962 which was duly entered in the revenue record and accordingly, the revenue record was corrected. Both the Courts have noticed that not only the plaintiff has concealed the material fact that there was a sale deed executed by his father as also there is no challenge to the aforesaid sale deed executed by Bhagat Singh. Original sale deed has been produced on file which is duly exhibited.

I have heard learned counsel for the appellant and with his able assistance gone through the judgments passed by the Courts below.

Learned counsel for the appellant has tried to reiterate what was pleaded in the plaint. He has further tried to raise the contentions which have already been dealt with by the Courts below.

Learned counsel for the appellant could not point out any perversity in the appreciation of evidence by the Courts below.

In view thereof, this Court does not find any good ground to interfere with the concurrent finding of fact arrived at by the Courts below.

Appeal is dismissed.

12.01.2018
Dinesh Bansal

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes / No

Whether Reportable Yes / No