

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**RSA No.6115 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision:11.12.2018**

Ranjana Chawla

... Appellant

Vs.

Gurcharan Dass Chopra and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr.Ramender Chauhan, Advocate
for the appellant.

AMIT RAWAL J. (Oral)

C.M.No.16087-C of 2016

For the reasons stated in the application which is duly supported by an affidavit, delay of 179 days in re-filing the appeal is condoned.

C.M stands allowed.

RSA No.6115 of 2016 (O&M)

The sole point in rejecting the relief sought in the plaint had been that the plaintiff has not been able to establish the alleged violation of the sanctioned plan at the behest of the private defendants.

When this Court confronted Mr. Ramender Chauhan, whether any demarcation report which have established the controversy, has been brought on record, or not. The answer was in negative. In such circumstances, the concurrent findings of facts cannot be faulted with.

No ground is made out for interference in the impugned judgments and decrees of the Courts below.

The regular second appeal is dismissed.

December 11, 2018

savita

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No