

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

111

**RSA-5779-2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 06.08.2018**

Mehar Singh Appellant

Versus

Satyawan and another Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present :- Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate
for the appellant.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (ORAL)

This appeal has been filed against the concurrent judgments of the courts below decreeing a suit filed by the respondents.

The claim of the respondents was that the appellant had encroached over their land and that they had got the land/s demarcated which proved the encroachment. Both the courts below having decreed the suit the appellant is before this Court.

Counsel for the appellant has argued that the demarcation report was incorrect. In this connection, the courts below had noticed that the kanungo who made the demarcation report had appeared but no suggestion was put to him that he had not followed the rules and directions of the FCR and rules and orders of this Court in preparing the report.

Another argument raised by the counsel is that the kanungo had not fixed the pucca points. In this connection, the appellate court noticed that in the report the official had mentioned that he fixed pucca points.

Counsel has taken me through the testimony as well as the report of the of the said official. I have noticed that the findings of the courts below are correct.

Consequently, the appeal is dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

August 06, 2018
ashish

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	-	Yes/No
Whether reportable	-	Yes/No