

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANAAT
CHANDIGARH**

Regular Second Appeal No. 5403 of 2016(O&M)

Date of Decision: October 08 , 2018.

Vidyawati

..... APPELLANT (s)

Versus

Krishan Lal @ Har Kishan and another

..... RESPONDENT (s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: None.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

LISA GILL, J.

The present appeal has been filed by the appellant/defendant against the concurrent findings of fact returned against him by the learned Additional Civil Judge(Senior Division), Hathin as well as the learned Additional District Judge, Palwal vide judgments and decrees dated 02.12.2013 and 20.07.2015, respectively.

There has been no representation on behalf of the appellant on any of the occasions when the matter was taken up for hearing. The following order was passed on 02.08.2018, when the matter was adjourned for today:-

“It is noticed that there has been no representation on behalf of the

appellant on earlier occasions.

Today, again there is no representation on behalf of the appellant.

In the interest of justice, adjourned to 08.10.2018.

Learned counsel for the appellant be notified of the date fixed in this case.

In case, there is no representation on behalf of the appellant on the next date of hearing, this appeal may be dismissed in default.”

Today, despite the matter being called twice, none appears on behalf of the appellant. It appears that the appellant is not interested in pursuing this appeal.

Appeal is accordingly dismissed in default.

October 08 , 2018.
'om'

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No