IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

RSA No.4809 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: November 21, 2018

Gopi Ram & another

...Appellants

Versus

Lal Chand & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Ajay Paul Singh, Advocate,
for the appellants.

*****

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

The claim before the courts below at the instance of the appellants was with regard to the turn of the water, which has been declined by the trial court and the Lower Appellate Court.

Concededly, the suit of such nature was not maintainable in view of the bar under Section 30-G of the Northern India Canal & Drainage Act, 1873. The same reads as under:-

> "*Bar of jurisdiction of the Civil Court.- Notwithstanding anything contained in this Act or any other law for the time being in force no Civil Court shall have jurisdiction to entertain or decide any question relating to matters falling under Section 30-A to 30-FF.*"

Plaintiffs had an alternative remedy. The judgments and decrees of the courts below cannot be faulted with. No ground for interference is made out, much less involvement of any substantial question of law. Resultantly, the appeal is dismissed.

November 21, 2018 **(AMIT RAWAL)**
ramesh **JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No

RAMESH KUMAR
2018.11.22 13:41
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document