

GURBAX SINGH
2018-09-01 10:58

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH**

**VATAP No. 18 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of decision: 17.05.2018**

M/s Northern India Textiles Processors Association, Faridabad.

.....Appellant

Vs.

State of Haryana and others.

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL,
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE**

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present: Mr. Rajiv Agnihotri, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Sandeep Goyal, Advocate for the appellant.

Ms. Mamta Singla Talwar, DAG, Haryana.

Ajay Kumar Mittal,(ACJ)

1. This order shall dispose of VATAP Nos.18, 43, 94, 106, 131 of 2013 and 169, 170, 171 of 2017 as according to the learned counsel for the parties, the issue involved in all these appeals is identical.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the parties submitted that the decision of this appeal shall be governed by the judgment rendered in VATAP No.32 of 2017, (*M/s A.P. Processors, Plot No. 103, Sector-24, Faridabad through its Partner Sh. Arvind Jain vs. State of Haryana through Principal Secretary to Government of Haryana, Excise and Taxation Department, Civil Secretariat, Haryana, Chandigarh*), decided today. In view thereof, the detailed facts are not being noticed. However, in

view of the consensus between the parties, as the matter has been remanded to the Assessing Officer to pass a fresh order after hearing the parties in accordance with law, keeping in view the observations made in *M/s A.P. Processors's* case (supra), these appeals are also disposed of in the same terms.

(Ajay Kumar Mittal)
Acting Chief Justice

May 17, 2018
'gs'
Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

(Tejinder Singh Dhindsa)
Judge
Yes
Yes