

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

115

**RSA No.4154 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 04.05.2018**

Ujjagar Singh (since deceased) through LRs
and others

..... Appellants

Versus

Jagir Singh (since deceased) through LRs
and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- Mr. A.S. Dhindsa, Advocate, for
Mr. K.S. Chahal, Advocate for the appellants.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

Plaintiffs-appellants are in the regular second appeal against the concurrent finding of fact arrived at by the Courts below.

Both the Courts have found that father of the plaintiff had appeared in previous litigation and suffered a statement that the respondent-defendant is a tenant over the land. Hence, both the Courts have held that the suit for possession filed by the plaintiffs would not be maintainable. Although, learned counsel for the appellants has made sincere attempt to persuade this Court to take a different view. However, taking into consideration the fact that neither any substantive misreading of evidence has been pointed out nor there is any perversity in the judgments passed by the Courts below which may warrant interference by this Court.

Appeal is dismissed.

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

04.05.2018
Dinesh Bansal

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes / No