

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No. 132

LPA No. 824 of 2018 (O&M)
in CWP No. 10568 of 2018
Date of decision : 31.05.2018

Mahant Bhagwan Dass

..... Appellant

VERSUS

Gulshan Kumar and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
 HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. G.L.Bajaj, Advocate, for the appellant.

RAJESH BINDAL, J:

Order dated 30.04.2018, passed by the learned Single Judge, whereby a direction had been issued to decide the representation filed by the writ petitioner keeping in view the judgment of this Court in LPA No. 541 of 1994 titled as State of Punjab and others vs. Mahant Jatinder Dass Chela Mahant Narotam Dass, Mahant, Mandir Dun, Patiala, has been impugned by filing the present intra-court appeal.

The grievance of the appellant is that the counsel, who appeared for the writ petitioner, did not point out before the learned Single Judge that order passed in Mahant Jatinder Dass's case (supra) on the basis of which the respondents therein were directed to decide the representation filed by the writ petitioner, had been appealed against before Hon'ble the Supreme Court in which status qua has been granted.

If that is so, we dispose of the present appeal by giving liberty to the appellant to point out this fact to the authority concerned which has to decide the representation filed by the writ petitioner. The appellant shall also be at liberty to point out if there is any other wrong assertion of fact made by the writ petitioner in the writ petition/representation.

[RAJESH BINDAL]
JUDGE

31.05.2018

shamsher

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No