

119-2

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**LPA No.640 of 2018 (O&M) in
CWP No. 1812 of 2016
Date of Decision: 03.05.2018**

Writers and Publishers Limited

..... Appellant

Versus

Jagdish Parshad and another

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B. S. WALIA**

Present: Mr. Arun Kumar, Advocate
for the appellant.

RAJESH BINDAL J.

The order passed by the learned Single Judge dated 02.02.2018 allowing the writ petition filed by the workman has been impugned by filing the present intra-court appeal.

The workman in the present case was appointed on 05.05.2000. His services were terminated on 01.05.2002. The Labour Court found violation of provisions of Section 25F of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 and though it was held that the workman was entitled for reinstatement with continuity of service and full back wages, however, compensation of ₹ 40,000/- was awarded. In the writ petition filed by the workman, the learned Single Judge enhanced the compensation to ₹ 1,00,000/-.

We do not find any error in the order passed by the learned Single Judge.

The appeal is dismissed. Accordingly, the application seeking
condonation of delay in filing the appeal is also dismissed.

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

(B. S. WALIA)
JUDGE

03.05.2018
rittu

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No