

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Letters Patent Appeal No. 632 of 2018 (O&M) in
CWP No. 7518 of 2018
Date of decision: 2.5.2018

Vinod Kumar and others

.. Appellants

v.

The State Bank of India and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B. S. WALIA

Present: Mr. Dilbagh Singh, Advocate for the appellants.

...

Rajesh Bindal J.

Order dated 27.3.2018 passed by the learned Single Judge dismissing the writ petition has been impugned by filing the present intra court appeal.

The appellants herein were working as individual Business Correspondents with erstwhile State Bank of Patiala, which now stands merged with State Bank of India. They are governed by the terms of agreement signed between the parties. It is not in dispute that they are not regular employees of the bank. The allegation is that their contracts are sought to be terminated even before expiry of the term. The learned Single Judge has rightly opined that for any breach of a contract, the appellants may avail of their appropriate remedy, as the writ jurisdiction will not be appropriate. There is no error in the order passed by the learned Single

The appeal is, accordingly, dismissed.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

(B. S. Walia)
Judge

2.5.2018
mk

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No