

130

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**LPA No.60 of 2018 (O&M) in
CWP No.26377 of 2017
Date of decision: January 17, 2018**

Azad Singh and others

.....Appellants

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.S. WALIA**

Present: Mr. Manvender Singh Dalal, Advocate
for the appellants.

RAJESH BINDAL, J.

Writ petition was filed by the appellants claiming that work for construction of road in village was allotted and in fact was to be completed by 11.07.2017, but, till date nothing has been done. Writ petition was dismissed by learned Single Judge, finding that the appellants had not been able to make out as to what was the cause of action for filing the writ petition which was not filed in public interest as such.

Though, it is sought to be claimed that all the residents of the village were effected by non-construction of road, learned counsel for the appellants submitted that he may be permitted to withdraw the writ petition itself with liberty to avail of appropriate remedy for

seeking relief.

Ordered accordingly.

**(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE**

**(B.S. WALIA)
JUDGE**

January 17, 2018
anju rani

Whether speaking/ reasoned: No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No